# Robotics Systems Types - Tasks #1273 Change rst.timing.Timestamp.time to seconds

11/30/2012 01:06 AM - J. Moringen

Status:	Rejected	Start date:	11/29/2012	
Priority:	Normal	Due date:		
Assignee:	J. Moringen	% Done:	80%	
Category:		Estimated time:	0.00 hour	
Target version:	rsb-0.9			
Description				
<ul> <li>change unit to seconds</li> <li>change type to double</li> </ul>				
Related issues:				
Related to Robotics Systems Types - Tasks # 1271: Change rst.timing.Duration			Rejected	11/29/2012
			Rejected	11/29/2012

#### History

### #1 - 11/30/2012 11:05 AM - A. Swadzba

Um das zu tun, müsste ich mir den rst-0.8 branch auschecken, oder?

#### #2 - 11/30/2012 02:37 PM - J. Moringen

This issue is intended to collect opinions. The actual change, if any, can be done later.

### #3 - 11/30/2012 03:38 PM - A. Swadzba

In principal possible, but would effect existing source code.

#### #4 - 11/30/2012 03:44 PM - J. Moringen

Other opinions?

I brought this up because we mostly use SI units.

### #5 - 11/30/2012 07:49 PM - J. Moringen

- Assignee changed from A. Swadzba to J. Moringen
- % Done changed from 0 to 80

We discussed this and it seems better to keep the current definition. Sorry.

There were four major arguments:

# SI Units

In favor of change: We try to use SI units without magnitude prefixes, wherever possible.

# Precision

**Inconclusive**: Experiments show that, in general, uint64 and double-float, but not single-float, provide enough precision for accurate timestamp calculation:

```
CL-USER> (let* ((now (local-time:now))
         (a/sec (local-time:timestamp-to-unix now))
         (a/nsec (local-time:nsec-of now))
         (ai
              (+ (* 1000000 a/sec) (floor a/nsec 1000)))
         (af
              (float ai 1.0f0)) ; single float
              (float ai 1.0d0)); double float
         (ad
         (bi
              (+ (* 1000000 0) (+ (floor a/nsec 1000) 1)))
              (float bi 1.0f0)) ; single float
         (bf
              (float bi 1.0d0)) ; double float
         (bd
         )
      (format t "Integer ~20D - ~20D = ~20D~%Single Float~20F - ~20F = ~20F~%Double Float~20F - ~20F = ~20F~%"
           bi ai (- ai bi)
           bf af (- af bf)
           bd ad (- ad bd)))
Integer
                166762 - 1354300814166761 = 1354300813999999
Single Float
                  166762.0 - 135430080000000.0 =
                                                        135430080000000.0
Double Float
                   166762.0 - 1354300814166761.0 = 1354300813999999.0
```

### Efficiency

Against change: In most cases, manipulation of integers is more efficient than manipulation of floats.

# **Common Practice**

Against change: It was our impression that in most related work, timestamps are represented as integer/msec or integer/µsec.

## Conclusion

We came to the conclusion that it would be best to keep the uint64/µsec representation and convert to float/sec in clients who want this (maybe with support from project:rosetta).

If nobody objects, I would add this explanation/rationale to the documentation strings of the data type and reject the issue.

#### #6 - 12/03/2012 06:29 PM - J. Moringen

- Status changed from Feedback to Rejected