

## Robot Control Interface - Feature #1715

### Provide better interface for creation of wrench

01/06/2014 11:56 AM - C. Emmerich

<b>Status:</b>	Resolved	<b>Start date:</b>	01/06/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	High	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>		<b>% Done:</b>	100%
<b>Category:</b>	Software	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	rci0.5		
<b>Description</b>			
In order to make it easier to create a rci::Wrench, please provide a better interface like you did e.g. for rci::Poses.			
<b>Related issues:</b>			
Related to Robot Control Interface - Feature # 1714: Provide rci::wrench conv...		<b>Resolved</b>	<b>01/06/2014</b>
Related to Robot Control Interface - Bug # 1043: Modelisation of Wrench seems...		<b>Feedback</b>	<b>06/28/2012</b>

#### Associated revisions

##### Revision 719 - 01/16/2014 07:02 PM - C. Emmerich

refs #1043, refs #1715 implemented better rci::Wrench interface

##### Revision 440 - 01/17/2014 01:22 AM - C. Emmerich

adapted to new rci::wrench interface (refs #1715)

#### History

##### #1 - 01/06/2014 01:49 PM - Anonymous

- Assignee changed from Anonymous to C. Emmerich

##### #2 - 01/06/2014 01:49 PM - Anonymous

- Category set to Software

- Target version set to rci0.5

##### #3 - 01/06/2014 08:06 PM - C. Emmerich

- Assignee changed from C. Emmerich to Anonymous

Sorry, Arne. But I will not write a converter for this type unless the type and the interface is conceptually clear to me. On the one hand there is rci::Wrench which stores cartesian torques somehow as quaternions (and I dont even know whether it is possible to do so; at least unit quaternions dont make sense here in my opinion), on the other hand there is rst.wrench storing cartesian torques as 3-dim vector, which is kind of interpretable and goes inline with ROS etc and the LWR (whatever representation that is by the way). I dont know the connection between these two!

So, you have 3 options:

1. Give me an extended and complete course of how you came to the quaternions representation and how to convert this into a 3-dim-vector representation. Then I will do the coding.
2. Change the representation of rci::Wrench into a 3-dim vector and let's discuss what exact representation/meaning that could be/have ... and I will do the coding.
3. Write the converter by yourself.

So, which door is yours? Number 1, 2 or 3?

:D

**#4 - 01/06/2014 08:14 PM - C. Emmerich**

Oh sorry, I think I got messed up with the issue topic, but these issues (#1043, #1714 #1715) are so strongly related that you can put my answer under any of these :D

**#5 - 01/07/2014 11:04 AM - Anonymous**

It seems to indeed be unconventional to use quaternions for torques, yet possible (AFAIR), see [this](#) (especially first answer and its links). So it seems that the most natural way to represent cartesian torques is indeed a 3D vector with torques about x, y, z.

As you mentioned, this would be in line with [ROS](#), [Orocos](#) and [rst](#).

This would be door 2, Sir.

However, all of the above formats don't seem over-specified to me. But even after some searching, I did not find a real *best-practice* for representing torques. I think the best way is to have a look at the torque representations in the well-established physics engines (bullet, etc.).

**#6 - 01/07/2014 04:19 PM - Anonymous**

We should consider the publication [Geometric Relations between Rigid Bodies: Semantics for Standardization](#), which is a recent publication on this topic, including force and torque representations (see Appendix, Section VII-D, Table V).

**#7 - 01/07/2014 04:37 PM - C. Emmerich**

Do you know where I can find the appendix? It is not included in this pdf (and also not in part2 - another part of this tutorial)...

**#8 - 01/07/2014 04:54 PM - Anonymous**

Sorry, wrong link. [Here it is with appendix](#) (can't upload because of IEEE copyright stuff).

**#9 - 01/16/2014 10:29 PM - J. Moringen**

In r719, is the second parameter of the Wrench constructor by-value (as opposed to by-reference) on purpose?

```
Wrench(const Forces& f, const Torques t);
```

**#10 - 01/17/2014 01:13 AM - C. Emmerich**

No, this was not on purpose. Changed in r720. Thx for the hint.

**#11 - 01/20/2014 09:03 AM - C. Emmerich**

- Status changed from New to Resolved

- % Done changed from 0 to 100

I consider this issue as closed. For final review of (new) rci::Wrench interface/modelization, see #1043.