

# Robotics Service Bus - Tasks #303

## Review De-/Activation Logic

05/19/2011 02:28 PM - S. Wrede

<b>Status:</b>	Resolved	<b>Start date:</b>	05/19/2011
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	J. Wienke	<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>		<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>			

### Description

Check if activate/deactivate pattern makes sense and is used correctly in the different implementations.

1. Record for which objects this is needed, e.g., de-activation in Java, resource allocation in C++ constructors
2. Decide on (initially single-threaded) implementation of object lifecycle states: Think about InvalidState checking and corresponding exceptions?
3. Distribute implementation tickets... ;-)

### Associated revisions

#### Revision 32a4cc15 - 06/08/2011 04:53 PM - J. Wienke

- improved deactivation logic of SpreadConnection and clearly documented it
- throw errors in SpreadConnection if sending fails instead of bool return value which can easily be ignored

fixes #308

refs #303

### History

#### #1 - 06/09/2011 03:45 PM - J. Moringen

- Target version changed from 0.3 to rsb-0.10

#### #2 - 11/18/2011 03:04 PM - S. Wrede

- Assignee deleted (J. Moringen)

- Target version changed from rsb-0.10 to 0.6

#### #3 - 02/27/2012 03:29 PM - J. Wienke

- Target version deleted (0.6)

#### #4 - 04/19/2012 05:29 PM - J. Wienke

- Status changed from New to In Progress

- Assignee set to J. Wienke

#### #5 - 04/19/2012 06:34 PM - J. Wienke

So, first of all some things that we should think of when revising this mechanism:

- We need to be able to control when events start being produced (e.g. by InConnectors) as otherwise we cannot make structured assumptions about this fact in later stages of the processing

- Resetting connections might be a good thing sometimes

- Some parts like connectors probably have more states than just active and inactive. For instance and error state can be common.

- State changes of certain objects should be interceptable (i.e. listening on them), especially if these objects can change state on their own. -> probably a generic pattern

related:

- [Short discussion on stackoverflow](#)
- [A more lengthy one](#)

**#6 - 04/19/2012 06:49 PM - J. Wienke**

Assuming we decide that we want to model these different states really with the state pattern, the next question is how the state of a composed object affects the state of the owner. E.g. what happens to a Listener's state of the underlying connector switches to "Error"? Does it help to model an Error state for the listener as well? There are also a lot of concurrency issues to think of which make a "perfect" state switching of the Listener impossible. So clients still need to expect erroneous calls before the Listener actually switches to the next state. I have no idea what the right solution is for this problem right now.

**#7 - 09/02/2013 07:02 PM - J. Wienke**

- *Status changed from In Progress to Resolved*

I think we are currently at a quite stable state after the recent refactorings, especially in java. So I will close this for now. Otherwise this will stay open endlessly. We can open a more specific issue in case we find a concrete problem with the current state.