NemoMath - Enhancement #623 Allow inversion rules inside MathVector<T>

10/12/2011 03:37 PM - M. Rolf

Status: Closed Start date: 10/12/2011 **Priority:** Due date: Low Assignee: M. Rolf % Done: 0% **Estimated time:** Category: 0.00 hour Target version: NemoMath 0.4

Description

==> Do not have to specify full inversion rules like

leftAddInverse<MathVector<TYPE> >

every time a new TYPE is used inside MathVector

History

#1 - 10/19/2011 03:58 PM - M. Rolf

- Target version set to NemoMath 0.2

#2 - 10/27/2011 01:05 PM - M. Rolf

- Target version changed from NemoMath 0.2 to NemoMath 0.4

#3 - 11/08/2011 04:15 PM - M. Rolf

Reduce compilation time by NOT instanciating Vectors/Matrices over several types T before absolutely necessary.

Possible solution:

Call with typename-inference leftAddInverse(MathVector<T>) and provide implementations inside MathVector.

 $The \ compiler \ should \ find \ them \ as \ 'specializations' \ by \ ADL, \ similar \ to \ the \ swap (ReadWriteRef) \ implementation \ in \ Math Vector.$

#4 - 02/05/2013 02:27 PM - M. Rolf

- Status changed from New to Closed

Something like this inside MathVector<T> was intended

 $friend\ MathVector < T > \ leftAddInverse(const\ MathVector < T > \ \&value) \{return\ -value;\}$

Yet, this particular piece of code doesn't, because it is not recognized as template specialization of leftAddInverse<D>.

This version tries to do that specialization, but doesn't compile inside MathVector:

 $template<> Math Vector < T> right Add Inverse < Math Vector < T> > (const Math Vector < T> & value) \{return - value; \} \\$

GCC says:

04/17/2024 1/2

error: explicit specialization in non-namespace scope 'class nemo::MathVector<T>'

You cannot specialize in a different namespace (here class-namespace) that the generic declaration (::nemo space). C++03 specification:

An explicit specialization shall be declared in the namespace of which the template is a member, or, for member templates, in the namespace of which the enclosing class or enclosing class template is a member.

Doesn't seem to be possible.

#5 - 02/05/2013 02:40 PM - M. Rolf

In order to reduce the compilation overhead, now only two types inside MathVector come with ready-made inversion rules:

- 1. int
- 2. double

These are also the two types that have ready-made typedefs IntVector and RealVector.

All other integer types can still be conveniently supplied with inversion rules (for addition/subtraction) by stating

```
_nemo_vector_inversion_(TYPE)
```

in the client code.

The same holds for floating point types (addition/subtraction/multiplication/division) with

```
_nemo_vector_cwise_inversion_(TYPE)
```

04/17/2024 2/2